Lecture 4: Congestion Control

Overview
- Internet is a network of networks
- Narrow waist of IP: unreliable, best-effort datagram delivery
- Packet forwarding: input port to output port
- Routing protocols: computing port mappings
- Transport: end-to-end, reliability, flow control, and congestion control

Transport
- Provides end-to-end communication between applications
- UDP: unreliable datagram delivery (thin layer on top of IP)
- TCP: reliable stream delivery

TCP
- Connection establishment
- Connection teardown
- Retransmission timeout (RTT and variance)
- Flow control (receiver window)
- Congestion control (transmit window)

A Bit of History
- 1974: 3-way handshake
- 1978: TCP and IP split into TCP/IP
- 1983: January 1, ARPAnet switches to TCP/IP
- 1986: Internet begins to suffer congestion collapses
- 1987-8: Van Jacobson fixes TCP, publishes seminal paper (Tahoe)
- 1990: Fast recovery and fast retransmit added (Reno)
Three questions

- Goal: maintain TCP goodput at equilibrium
- When does TCP retransmit packets?
- When does TCP transmit packets?
- When does TCP ack packets?

Flow Control

- Part of TCP specification (pre-1988)
- Want to make sure we don’t send more than what the receiver can handle
- Sliding window protocol as described in lectures 2 and 3
- Use window header field to tell other side how much space you have
- Rule: Sent and unacknowledged bytes $\leq \text{window}$

TCP segment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>source port</th>
<th>destination port</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>sequence number</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>acknowledgment number</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>data offset</td>
<td>reserved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>checksum</td>
<td>urgent pointer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>options</td>
<td>padding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>data</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Send Timing

- Before Tahoe
  - On connection, nodes send full window of packets
  - Retransmit packet immediately after its timer expires
- Result: window-sized bursts of packets in network

Retransmission

- TCP dynamically estimates round trip time
- If segment goes unacknowledged, must retransmit
- Use exponential backoff (in case loss from congestion)
- After $\sim 10$ minutes, give up and reset connection
Round Trip Time (RTT)

- We want to estimate RTT so we can know a packet was likely lost, not just delayed.
- The challenge is that RTTs for individual packets can be highly variable, both on long-term and short-term time scales.
- Can increase significantly with load!
- Solution
  - Use exponentially weighted moving average (EWMA)
  - Estimate deviation as well as expected value
  - Assume packet is lost when time is well beyond reasonable deviation.

RTT with EWMA

- \( \text{Est}_{\text{RTT}} = (1 - \alpha) \cdot \text{Est}_{\text{RTT}} + \alpha \cdot \text{Sample}_{\text{RTT}} \)
  - Recommended \( \alpha \) is 0.125
- \( \text{Dev}_{\text{RTT}} = (1 - \beta) \cdot \text{Dev}_{\text{RTT}} + \beta \cdot |\text{Sample}_{\text{RTT}} - \text{Est}_{\text{RTT}}| \)
  - Recommended \( \beta \) is 0.25
- Timeout is \( \text{Est}_{\text{RTT}} + 4 \cdot \text{Dev}_{\text{RTT}} \)

Old RTT estimation

Tahoe RTT estimation

Self-Clocking

- Goal is *conservation of packets* in steady state
  - A new packet isn’t put into the network until an old one leaves
  - Very effective in avoiding and controlling congestion
- Solution: send a data packet for each ack

Self-Clocking, Continued

- Assuming good RTT estimation, self-clocking prevents congestion by making window limit the number of packets in the network
- Very simple to implement
- But how big should the window be?
Slow start

- But how do you start?
- Introduce a congestion window to connection state, \( cwnd \)
- Rule: Sent and unacknowledged bytes \( \leq cwnd \)
- On start or loss, set \( cwnd \) to one packet (or... more on this later)
- On each data ack, increase \( cwnd \) by one packet
- Prevents bursts of packets

Two States

- TCP has two states: Slow Start (SS) and Congestion Avoidance (CA)
- A window size threshold governs the state transition
  - Window \( \leq \) threshold: slow start
  - Window \( > \) threshold: collision avoidance
- States differ in how they respond to new acks
  - Slow start: \( cwnd += MSS \)
  - Congestion avoidance: \( cwnd += \frac{MSS}{cwnd} \) (MSS every RTT)

Congestion Control

- Two conflicting goals
  - Provider: high utilization
  - User: fairness among users
- Want to converge to a state where everyone gets \( \frac{1}{N} \)
- Avoid congestion collapse

Taming Congestion

- The optimal congestion window is the bandwidth/delay product
- Sender learns this by adapting window size
- Senders must slow down when there is congestion
- Absence of ACKs (timeout) implies serious congestion
- Duplicate ACKs imply some congestion

Responding to Loss

- Set threshold to \( \frac{cwnd}{2} \)
- On timeout
  - Set \( cwnd \) to 1
  - Causes TCP to enter slow start
- On triple duplicate ACK (Reno)
  - Set \( cwnd \) to \( \frac{cwnd}{2} \)
  - Retransmit missing segment
  - Causes TCP to stay in congestion avoidance
AIMD

- Additive increase, multiplicative decrease
- Behavior in congestion avoidance mode
- Why AIMD? Remember goals:
  - Link utilization
  - Fairness

AIMD in action

- Figure thanks to Brad Karp

Chiu Jain Phase Plots

Flow A rate (bps)

Flow B rate (bps)

Fair
A=B

Efficient
A+B=C

Chiu Jain Phase Plots

Flow A rate (bps)

Flow B rate (bps)

Fair
A=B

Efficient
A+B=C

Chiu Jain Phase Plots

Flow A rate (bps)

Flow B rate (bps)

Fair
A=B

Efficient
A+B=C

overload
underload
Three questions, revisited

- Goal: maintain TCP goodput at equilibrium
- When does TCP retransmit packets?
- When does TCP transmit packets?
- When does TCP ack packets?

Sending acknowledgements

- An ACK must be sent for every other segment (RFC 2581)
- An ACK must be sent within 500ms (RFC 2581)
- Send duplicate acks aggressively

Acknowledgement Issues

- Savage et al., CCR 1999
- ACKs are in terms of bytes
- Congestion control is in terms of segments
- What if you send multiple ACKs per segment?
Multiplicative increase

- Receiver can force multiplicative increase, for \( M \leq \) segment length
- Can lead to 4GB cwnd in 4 RTTs!

TCP Daytona!

TCP Daytona Lessons

- TCP implementations now symmetrically use bytes
- Protocol specification is difficult!
- Very subtle and simple design decisions can lead to undesired behavior
- IETF requires at least two interoperating implementations before moving to standards track
- Protocols evolve

Future of TCP

- BitTorrent can lead to other apps performing poorly
  - BitTorrent (and other P2P applications) can open 10-100 connections
  - TCP provides per-flow, not per-application, fairness
- Low Extra Delay Background Transport (ledbat)
  - "LEDBAT is a transport-area WG that will focus on broadly applicable techniques that allow large amounts of data to be consistently transmitted without substantially affecting the delays experienced by other users and applications."

Future of TCP, Continued

- High speed links/high bandwidth-delay product
  - Single packet loss cuts cwnd in half
  - Can take a long time to grow
  - Fast TCP, High-Speed TCP
- Wireless
  - Wireless exhibits many losses due to poor links, limits throughput
  - High-throughput TCP in wireless meshes does not yet exist
  - More in lecture 14