

- Reminders for this Friday:
 - VM lab due
 - Section to go over final lab

1 / 43

- 1 FFS in more detail
- 2 Crash recoverability
- 3 Soft updates
- 4 Journaling

2 / 43

Review: FFS background

- 1980s improvement to original Unix FS, which had:
 - 512-byte blocks
 - Free blocks in linked list
 - All inodes at beginning of disk
 - Low throughput: 512 bytes per average seek time
- Unix FS performance problems:
 - Transfers only 512 bytes per disk access
 - Eventually random allocation → 512 bytes / disk seek
 - Inodes far from directory and file data
 - Within directory, inodes far from each other
- Also had some usability problems:
 - 14-character file names a pain
 - Can't atomically update file in crash-proof way


3 / 43

Review: FFS [McKusick] basics

- Change block size to at least 4K
 - To avoid wasting space, use “fragments” for ends of files
- Cylinder groups spread inodes around disk
- Bitmaps replace free list
- FS reserves space to improve allocation
 - Tunable parameter, default 10%
 - Only superuser can use space when over 90% full
- Usability improvements:
 - File names up to 255 characters
 - Atomic *rename* system call
 - Symbolic links assign one file name to another

4 / 43

Review: FFS disk layout



- Each cylinder group has its own:
 - Superblock
 - Bookkeeping information
 - Set of inodes
 - Data/directory blocks

5 / 43

Superblock

- Contains file system parameters
 - Disk characteristics, block size, CG info
 - Information necessary to locate inode given i-number
- Replicated once per cylinder group
 - At shifting offsets, so as to span multiple platters
 - Contains magic number 0x011954 to find replicas if 1st superblock dies (Kirk McKusick's birthday?)
- Contains non-replicated “summary information”
 - # blocks, fragments, inodes, directories in FS
 - Flag stating if FS was cleanly unmounted


6 / 43

Bookkeeping information

- **Block map**
 - Bit map of available fragments
 - Used for allocating new blocks/fragments
- **Summary info within CG**
 - # free inodes, blocks/frags, files, directories
 - Used when picking cylinder group from which to allocate
- **# free blocks by rotational position (8 positions)**
 - Was reasonable in 1980s when disks weren't commonly zoned
 - Back then OS could do stuff to minimize rotational delay

7 / 43

Inodes and data blocks



- Each CG has fixed # of inodes (default one per 2K data)
- Each inode maps **offset** → **disk block** for one file
- An inode also contains metadata for its file
 - permissions, access/modification/change times, link count, ...

8 / 43

Inode allocation

- Each file or directory created requires a new inode
- New file? Put inode in same CG as directory if possible
- New directory? Use different CG from parent
 - Consider CGs with greater than average # free inodes
 - Chose CG with smallest # directories
- Within CG, inodes allocated randomly (next free)
 - Would like related inodes as close as possible
 - OK, because one CG doesn't have that many inodes
 - All inodes in CG can be read and cached with small # of reads

9 / 43

Fragment allocation

- Allocate space when user writes beyond end of file
- Want last block to be a fragment if not full-size
 - If already a fragment, may contain space for write – done
 - Else, must deallocate any existing fragment, allocate new
- If no appropriate free fragments, break full block
- Problem: Slow for many small writes
 - May have to keep moving end of file around
- (Partial) solution: new `stat` struct field `st_blksize`
 - Tells applications file system block size
 - `stdio` library can buffer this much data

10 / 43

Block allocation

- Try to optimize for sequential access
 - If available, use rotationally close block in same cylinder (obsolete)
 - Otherwise, use block in same CG
 - If CG totally full, find other CG with quadratic hashing i.e., if CG # n is full, try $n + 1^2, n + 2^2, n + 3^2, \dots \pmod{\#CGs}$
 - Otherwise, search all CGs for some free space
- Problem: Don't want one file filling up whole CG
 - Otherwise other inodes will have data far away
- Solution: Break big files over many CGs
 - But large extents in each CGs, so sequential access doesn't require many seeks
 - How big should extents be?

11 / 43

Block allocation

- Try to optimize for sequential access
 - If available, use rotationally close block in same cylinder (obsolete)
 - Otherwise, use block in same CG
 - If CG totally full, find other CG with quadratic hashing i.e., if CG # n is full, try $n + 1^2, n + 2^2, n + 3^2, \dots \pmod{\#CGs}$
 - Otherwise, search all CGs for some free space
- Problem: Don't want one file filling up whole CG
 - Otherwise other inodes will have data far away
- Solution: Break big files over many CGs
 - But large extents in each CGs, so sequential access doesn't require many seeks
 - How big should extents be?
 - Extent transfer time should be much greater than seek time

11 / 43

Directories

- Directories have normal inodes with different type bits
- Contents considered as 512-byte *chunks*
- Each chunk has `direct` structure(s) with:
 - 32-bit number
 - 16-bit size of directory entry
 - 8-bit file type (added later)
 - 8-bit length of file name
- Coalesce when deleting
 - If first `direct` in chunk deleted, set `inumber = 0`
- Periodically compact directory chunks
 - But can never move directory entries across chunks
 - Recall only 512-byte sector writes atomic w. power failure

12 / 43

Updating FFS for the 90s

- No longer wanted to assume rotational delay
 - With disk caches, want data contiguously allocated
- Solution: Cluster writes
 - FS delays writing a block back to get more blocks
 - Accumulates blocks into 64KiB *clusters*, written at once
- Allocation of clusters similar to fragments/blocks
 - Summary info
 - Cluster map has one bit for each 64K if all free
- Also read in 64K chunks when doing read ahead

13 / 43

Outline

- 1 FFS in more detail
- 2 Crash recoverability
- 3 Soft updates
- 4 Journaling

14 / 43

Fixing corruption – fsck

- Must run FS check (`fsck`) program after crash
- Summary info usually bad after crash
 - Scan to check free block map, block/inode counts
- System may have corrupt inodes (not simple crash)
 - Bad block numbers, cross-allocation, etc.
 - Do sanity check, clear inodes containing garbage
- Fields in inodes may be wrong
 - Count number of directory entries to verify link count, if no entries but count $\neq 0$, move to `lost+found`
 - Make sure size and used data counts match blocks
- Directories may be bad
 - Holes illegal, `.` and `..` must be valid, file names must be unique
 - All directories must be reachable

15 / 43

Crash recovery permeates FS code

- Have to ensure `fsck` can recover file system
- Example: Suppose all data written asynchronously
 - Any subset of data structures may be updated before a crash
- Delete/truncate a file, append to other file, crash
 - New file may reuse block from old
 - Old inode may not be updated
 - Cross-allocation!
 - Often inode with older `mtime` wrong, but can't be sure
- Append to file, allocate indirect block, crash
 - Inode points to indirect block
 - But indirect block may contain garbage!

16 / 43

Sidenote: kernel-internal disk write routines

- BSD has three ways of writing a block to disk
1. `bdwrite` – delayed write
 - Marks cached copy of block as dirty, does not write it
 - Will get written back in background within 30 seconds
 - Used if block likely to be modified again soon
 2. `bawrite` – asynchronous write
 - Start write but return immediately before it completes
 - E.g., use when appending to file and block is full
 3. `bwrite` – synchronous write
 - Start write, sleep and do not return until safely on disk

17 / 43

Ordering of updates

- **Must be careful about order of updates**
 - Write new inode to disk before directory entry
 - Remove directory name before deallocating inode
 - Write cleared inode to disk before updating CG free map
- **Solution: Many metadata updates synchronous** (`bwrite`)
 - Doing one write at a time ensures ordering
 - Of course, this hurts performance
 - E.g., `untar` much slower than disk bandwidth
- **Note: Cannot update buffers on the disk queue**
 - E.g., say you make two updates to same directory block
 - But crash recovery requires first to be synchronous
 - Must wait for first write to complete before doing second
 - Makes `bawrite` as slow as `bwrite` for many updates to same block

18 / 43

Performance vs. consistency

- **FFS crash recoverability comes at huge cost**
 - Makes tasks such as `untar` easily 10-20 times slower
 - All because you *might* lose power or reboot at any time
- **Even slowing normal case does not make recovery fast**
 - If `fsck` takes one minute, then disks get 10× bigger, then 100× ...
- **One solution: battery-backed RAM**
 - Expensive (requires specialized hardware)
 - Often don't learn battery has died until too late
 - A pain if computer dies (can't just move disk)
 - If OS bug causes crash, RAM might be garbage
- **Better solution: Advanced file system techniques**
 - Topic of rest of lecture

19 / 43

Outline

- 1 FFS in more detail
- 2 Crash recoverability
- 3 **Soft updates**
- 4 Journaling

20 / 43

First attempt: Ordered updates

- **Want to avoid crashing after “bad” subset of writes**
- **Must follow 3 rules in ordering updates [Ganger]:**
 1. Never write pointer before initializing the structure it points to
 2. Never reuse a resource before nullifying all pointers to it
 3. Never clear last pointer to live resource before setting new one
- **If you do this, file system will be recoverable**
- **Moreover, can recover quickly**
 - Might leak free disk space, but otherwise correct
 - So start running after reboot, scavenge for space in background
- **How to achieve?**
 - Keep a partial order on buffered blocks

21 / 43

Ordered updates (continued)

- **Example: Create file A**
 - Block X contains an inode
 - Block Y contains a directory block
 - Create file A in inode block X , dir block Y
 - By rule #1, must write X before writing Y
- **We say $Y \rightarrow X$, pronounced “ Y depends on X ”**
 - Means Y cannot be written before X is written
 - X is called the **dependee**, Y the **depender**
- **Can delay both writes, so long as order preserved**
 - Say you create a second file B in blocks X and Y
 - Only have to write each out once for both creates


22 / 43

Problem: Cyclic dependencies

- **Suppose you create file A , unlink file B , but delay writes**
 - Both files in same directory block & inode block
- **Can't write directory until A 's inode initialized (rule #1)**
 - Otherwise, after crash directory will point to bogus inode
 - Worse yet, same inode # might be re-allocated
 - So could end up with file name A being an unrelated file
- **Can't write inode block until B 's dir. entry cleared (rule #2)**
 - Otherwise, B could end up with too small a link count
 - File could be deleted while links to it still exist
- **Otherwise, `fsck` has to be slow**
 - Check every directory entry and every inode link count

23 / 43

Cyclic dependencies illustrated




24 / 43

More problems

- **Crash might occur between ordered but related writes**
 - E.g., summary information wrong after block freed
- **Block aging**
 - Block that always has dependency will never get written back
- **Solution: *Soft updates* [Ganger]**
 - Write blocks in any order
 - But keep track of dependencies
 - When writing a block, temporarily roll back any changes you can't yet commit to disk
 - I.e., can't write block with any arrows pointing to dependees ... but can temporarily undo whatever change requires the arrow

25 / 43


Breaking dependencies with rollback



- Deleted Created file A and deleted file B
- Now say we decide to write directory block...
- Can't write file name A to disk—has dependee

26 / 43


Breaking dependencies with rollback



- **Undo file A before writing dir block to disk**
 - Even though we just wrote it, directory block still dirty
- **But now inode block has no dependees**
 - Can safely write inode block to disk as-is...

26 / 43


Breaking dependencies with rollback



- Now inode block clean (same in memory as on disk)
- But have to write directory block a second time...

26 / 43

Breaking dependencies with rollback



- All data stably on disk
- Crash at any point would have been safe

26 / 43

Soft updates

- **Structure for each updated field or pointer, contains:**
 - old value
 - new value
 - list of updates on which this update depends (*dependees*)
- **Can write blocks in any order**
 - But must temporarily undo updates with pending dependencies
 - Must lock rolled-back version so applications don't see it
 - Choose ordering based on disk arm scheduling
- **Some dependencies better handled by postponing in-memory updates**
 - E.g., when freeing block (e.g., because file truncated), just mark block free in bitmap after block pointer cleared on disk

27 / 43

Simple example

- **Say you create a zero-length file A**
- **Depender: Directory entry for A**
 - Can't be written until dependees on disk
- **Dependees:**
 - Inode – must be initialized before dir entry written
 - Bitmap – must mark inode allocated before dir entry written
- **Old value: empty directory entry**
- **New value: $\langle \text{filename } A, \text{inode } \# \rangle$**
- **Can write directory block to disk any time**
 - Must substitute old value until inode & bitmap updated on disk
 - Once dir block on disk contains A, file fully created
 - Crash before A on disk, worst case might leak the inode

28 / 43

Operations requiring soft updates (1)

- 1. Block allocation**
 - Must write the disk block, the free map, & a pointer
 - Disk block & free map must be written before pointer
 - Use Undo/redo on pointer (& possibly file size)
- 2. Block deallocation**
 - Must write the cleared pointer & free map
 - Just update free map after pointer written to disk
 - Or just immediately update free map if pointer not on disk
- **Say you quickly append block to file then truncate**
 - You will know pointer to block not written because of the allocated dependency structure
 - So both operations together require no disk I/O!

29 / 43

Operations requiring soft updates (2)

- 3. Link addition (see simple example)**
 - Must write the directory entry, inode, & free map (if new inode)
 - Inode and free map must be written before dir entry
 - Use undo/redo on i# in dir entry (ignore entries w. i# 0)
- 4. Link removal**
 - Must write directory entry, inode & free map (if nlinks==0)
 - Must decrement nlinks only after pointer cleared
 - Clear directory entry immediately
 - Decrement in-memory nlinks once pointer written
 - If directory entry was never written, decrement immediately (again will know by presence of dependency structure)
- **Note: Quick create/delete requires no disk I/O**

30 / 43

Soft update issues

- **fsync – syscall to flush file changes to disk**
 - Must also flush directory entries, parent directories, etc.
- **umount – flush all changes to disk on shutdown**
 - Some buffers must be flushed multiple times to get clean
- **Deleting large directory trees frighteningly fast**
 - *unlink* syscall returns even if inode/indir block not cached!
 - Dependencies allocated faster than blocks written
 - Cap # dependencies allocated to avoid exhausting memory
- **Useless write-backs**
 - Syncer flushes dirty buffers to disk every 30 seconds
 - Writing all at once means many dependencies unsatisfied
 - Fix syncer to write blocks one at a time
 - Tweak LRU buffer eviction to know about dependencies

31 / 43

Soft updates fsck

- **Split into foreground and background parts**
- **Foreground must be done before remounting FS**
 - Need to make sure per-cylinder summary info makes sense
 - Recompute free block/inode counts from bitmaps – very fast
 - Will leave FS consistent, but might leak disk space
- **Background does traditional fsck operations**
 - Do after mounting to recuperate free space
 - Can be using the file system while this is happening
 - Must be done in foreground after a media failure
- **Difference from traditional FFS fsck:**
 - May have many, many inodes with non-zero link counts
 - Don't stick them all in lost+found (unless media failure)

32 / 43

Outline

- 1 FFS in more detail
- 2 Crash recoverability
- 3 Soft updates
- 4 **Journaling**

33 / 43

An alternative: Journaling

- **Biggest crash-recovery challenge is inconsistency**
 - Have one logical operation (e.g., create or delete file)
 - Requires multiple separate disk writes
 - If only some of them happen, end up with big problems
- **Most of these problematic writes are to metadata**
- **Idea: Use a *write-ahead log* to journal metadata**
 - Reserve a portion of disk for a log
 - Write any metadata operation first to log, then to disk
 - After crash/reboot, re-play the log (efficient)
 - May re-do already committed change, but won't miss anything

34 / 43

Journaling (continued)

- **Group multiple operations into one log entry**
 - E.g., clear directory entry, clear inode, update free map—either all three will happen after recovery, or none
- **Performance advantage:**
 - Log is consecutive portion of disk
 - Multiple operations can be logged at disk b/w
 - Safe to consider updates committed when written to log
- **Example: delete directory tree**
 - Record all freed blocks, changed directory entries in log
 - Return control to user
 - Write out changed directories, bitmaps, etc. in background (sort for good disk arm scheduling)

35 / 43

Journaling details

- **Must find oldest relevant log entry**
 - Otherwise, redundant and slow to replay whole log
- **Use checkpoints**
 - Once all records up to log entry N have been processed and affected blocks stably committed to disk...
 - Record N to disk either in reserved checkpoint location, or in checkpoint log record
 - Never need to go back before most recent checkpointed N
- **Must also find end of log**
 - Typically circular buffer; don't play old records out of order
 - Can include begin transaction/end transaction records
 - Also typically have checksum in case some sectors bad


36 / 43

Case study: XFS [Sweeney]

- **Main idea: Think big**
 - Big disks, files, large # of files, 64-bit everything
 - Yet maintain very good performance
- **Break disk up into *Allocation Groups* (AGs)**
 - 0.5 – 4 GB regions of disk
 - New directories go in new AGs
 - Within directory, inodes of files go in same AG
 - Unlike cylinder groups, AGs too large to minimize seek times
 - Unlike cylinder groups, no fixed # of inodes per AG
- **Advantages of AGs:**
 - Parallelize allocation of blocks/inodes on multiprocessor (independent locking of different free space structures)
 - Can use 32-bit block pointers within AGs (keeps data structures smaller)

37 / 43

B+-trees



- **XFS makes extensive use of B+-trees**
 - Indexed data structure stores ordered Keys & Values
 - Keys must have an ordering defined on them
 - Stored data in blocks for efficient disk access
- **For B+-tree with n items, all operations $O(\log n)$:**
 - Retrieve closest $\langle \text{key}, \text{value} \rangle$ to target key k
 - Insert a new $\langle \text{key}, \text{value} \rangle$ pair
 - Delete $\langle \text{key}, \text{value} \rangle$ pair

38 / 43

B+-trees continued

- See any algorithms book for details (e.g., [Cormen])
- Some operations on B-tree are complex:
 - E.g., insert item into completely full B+-tree
 - May require “splitting” nodes, adding new level to tree
 - Would be bad to crash & leave B+tree in inconsistent state
- Journal enables atomic complex operations
 - First write all changes to the log
 - If crash while writing log, incomplete log record will be discarded, and no change made
 - Otherwise, if crash while updating B+-tree, will replay entire log record and write everything

39 / 43

B+-trees in XFS

- B+-trees are complex to implement
 - But once you’ve done it, might as well use everywhere
- Use B+-trees for directories (keyed on filename hash)
 - Makes large directories efficient
- Use B+-trees for inodes
 - No more FFS-style fixed block pointers
 - Instead, B+-tree maps: file offset → (start block, # blocks)
 - Ideally file is one or small number of contiguous extents
 - Allows small inodes & no indirect blocks even for huge files
- Use to find inode based on inumber
 - High bits of inumber specify AG
 - B+-tree in AG maps: starting i# → (block #, free-map)
 - So free inodes tracked right in leaf of B+-tree

40 / 43

More B+-trees in XFS

- Free extents tracked by two B+-trees
 1. start block # → # free blocks
 2. # free blocks → start block #
- Use journal to update both atomically & consistently
- #1 allows you to coalesce adjacent free regions
- #1 allows you to allocate near some target
 - E.g., when extending file, put next block near previous one
 - When first writing to file, put data near inode
- #2 allows you to do best fit allocation
 - Leave large free extents for large files

41 / 43

Contiguous allocation

- Ideally want each file contiguous on disk
 - Sequential file I/O should be as fast as sequential disk I/O
- But how do you know how large a file will be?
- Idea: **delayed allocation**
 - *write* syscall only affects the buffer cache
 - Allow write into buffers before deciding where to place on disk
 - Assign disk space only when buffers are flushed
- Other advantages:
 - Short-lived files never need disk space allocated
 - *mmaped* files often written in random order in memory, but will be written to disk mostly contiguously
 - Write clustering: find other nearby stuff to write to disk

42 / 43

Journaling vs. soft updates

- Both much better than FFS alone
- Some limitations of soft updates
 - Very specific to FFS data structures (E.g., couldn’t easily add B-trees like XFS—even directory rename not quite right)
 - Metadata updates may proceed out of order (E.g., create A, create B, crash—maybe only B exists after reboot)
 - Still need slow background fsck to reclaim space
- Some limitations of journaling
 - Disk write required for every metadata operation (whereas create-then-delete might require no I/O with soft updates)
 - Possible contention for end of log on multi-processor
 - *fsync* must sync other operations’ metadata to log, too

43 / 43