Midterm results



Midterm results



• Systems students should insist on a CDF!

Administrivia

Recall we will have a resurrection final

- As long as you took the midterm
- Don't panic if you didn't do well on midterm
- But make sure you understand all the answers
- There may be questions on same topics on the final

Final grade based on rank and thresholds

 Rank based on Projects + max(Final, (Midterm + Final)/2) (Assuming you took the midterm)



1 PC system architecture

Driver architecture

3 Disks

4 Disk scheduling

5 Flash

Old school memory and I/O buses



- CPU accesses physical memory over a bus
- Devices access memory over I/O bus with DMA
- Devices can appear to be a region of memory

Realistic ~2005 PC architecture



Modern PC architecture (intel)



CPU now entirely subsumes IOH [intel]



What is memory?

SRAM – Static RAM

- Like two NOT gates circularly wired input-to-output
- 4–6 transistors per bit, actively holds its value
- Very fast, used to cache slower memory

DRAM – Dynamic RAM

- A capacitor + gate, holds charge to indicate bit value
- 1 transistor per bit extremely dense storage
- Charge leaks need slow comparator to decide if bit 1 or 0
- Must re-write charge after reading, and periodically refresh

VRAM – "Video RAM"

- Dual ported DRAM, can write while someone else reads

What is I/O bus? E.g., PCI





1 PC system architecture

2 Driver architecture

3 Disks

4 Disk scheduling

5 Flash

Communicating with a device

Memory-mapped device registers

- Certain *physical* addresses correspond to device registers
- Load/store gets status/sends instructions not real memory
- Device memory device may have memory OS can write to directly on other side of I/O bus
- Special I/O instructions
 - Some CPUs (e.g., x86) have special I/O instructions
 - Like load & store, but asserts special I/O pin on CPU
 - OS can allow user-mode access to I/O ports at byte granularity
- DMA place instructions to card in main memory
 - Typically then need to "poke" card by writing to register
 - Overlaps unrelated computation with moving data over (typically slower than memory) I/O bus

x86 I/O instructions

```
static inline uint8_t
inb (uint16_t port)
ł
 uint8_t data;
 asm volatile ("inb %w1, %b0" : "=a" (data) : "Nd" (port));
 return data;
}
static inline void
outb (uint16_t port, uint8_t data)
Ł
 asm volatile ("outb %b0, %w1" : : "a" (data), "Nd" (port));
}
static inline void
insw (uint16_t port, void *addr, size_t cnt)
ł
 asm volatile ("rep insw" : "+D" (addr), "+c" (cnt)
                : "d" (port) : "memory");
}
```

Example: parallel port (LPT1)

• Simple hardware has three control registers:

D ₇	D ₆	D_5	D ₄	D ₃	D ₂	<i>D</i> ₁	D ₀
read/write data register (port 0x378)							

BSY	ACK	PAP	OFON	ERR	-	-	-
read-only status register (port 0x379)							

-	-	-	IRQ	DSL	ĪNĪ	ALF	STR
	read/	write co	ontrol r	egister (port 0	x37a)	

Every bit except IRQ corresponds to a pin on 25-pin connector:



[image credits: Wikipedia]



[Messmer]

Writing bit to parallel port [osdev]

```
void
sendbyte(uint8_t byte)
{
   /* Wait until BSY bit is 1. */
  while ((inb (0x379) & 0x80) == 0)
   delay ();
```

}

/* Put the byte we wish to send on pins D7-0. */
outb (0x378, byte);

```
/* Pulse STR (strobe) line to inform the printer
 * that a byte is available */
uint8_t ctrlval = inb (0x37a);
outb (0x37a, ctrlval | 0x01);
delay ();
outb (0x37a, ctrlval);
```

IDE disk driver

```
void IDE_ReadSector(int disk, int off, void *buf)
ł
 outb(0x1F6, disk == 0 ? 0xE0 : 0xF0); // Select Drive
 IDEWait();
 outb(0x1F2, 1); // Read length (1 sector = 512 B)
 outb(0x1F3, off); // LBA low
 outb(0x1F4, off >> 8); // LBA mid
 outb(0x1F5, off >> 16); // LBA high
 outb(0x1F7, 0x20); // Read command
 insw(0x1F0, buf, 256); // Read 256 words
}
void IDEWait()
ł
 // Discard status 4 times
 inb(0x1F7); inb(0x1F7);
 inb(0x1F7); inb(0x1F7);
 // Wait for status BUSY flag to clear
 while ((inb(0x1F7) & 0x80) != 0)
   ;
}
```

Memory-mapped IO

- in/out instructions slow and clunky
 - Instruction format restricts what registers you can use
 - Only allows 2¹⁶ different port numbers
 - Per-port access control turns out not to be useful (any port access allows you to disable all interrupts)

• Devices can achieve same effect with physical addresses, e.g.:

```
volatile int32_t *device_control
    = (int32_t *) (0xc0100 + PHYS_BASE);
*device_control = 0x80;
int32_t status = *device_control;
```

- OS must map physical to virtual addresses, ensure non-cachable

Assign physical addresses at boot to avoid conflicts. PCI:

- Slow/clunky way to access configuration registers on device
- Use that to assign ranges of physical addresses to device

DMA buffers



Buffer descriptor list

Idea: only use CPU to transfer control requests, not data

Include list of buffer locations in main memory

- Device reads list and accesses buffers through DMA
- Descriptions sometimes allow for scatter/gather I/O

Example: Network Interface Card



- Link interface talks to wire/fiber/antenna
 - Typically does framing, link-layer CRC
- FIFOs on card provide small amount of buffering
- Bus interface logic uses DMA to move packets to and from buffers in main memory

Example: IDE disk read w. DMA



Driver architecture

Device driver provides several entry points to kernel

- Reset, ioctl, output, interrupt, read, write, strategy ...

• How should driver synchronize with card?

- E.g., Need to know when transmit buffers free or packets arrive
- Need to know when disk request complete

• One approach: Polling

- Sent a packet? Loop asking card when buffer is free
- Waiting to receive? Keep asking card if it has packet
- Disk I/O? Keep looping until disk ready bit set

• Disadvantages of polling?

Driver architecture

Device driver provides several entry points to kernel

- Reset, ioctl, output, interrupt, read, write, strategy ...

• How should driver synchronize with card?

- E.g., Need to know when transmit buffers free or packets arrive
- Need to know when disk request complete

• One approach: Polling

- Sent a packet? Loop asking card when buffer is free
- Waiting to receive? Keep asking card if it has packet
- Disk I/O? Keep looping until disk ready bit set

Disadvantages of polling?

- Can't use CPU for anything else while polling
- Schedule poll in future? High latency to receive packet or process disk block bad for response time

Interrupt driven devices

Instead, ask card to interrupt CPU on events

- Interrupt handler runs at high priority
- Asks card what happened (xmit buffer free, new packet)
- This is what most general-purpose OSes do
- Bad under high network packet arrival rate
 - Packets can arrive faster than OS can process them
 - Interrupts are expensive
 - Interrupt handlers have high priority
 - In worst case, can spend 100% of time in interrupt handler and never make any progress *receive livelock*
 - Best: Adaptive switching between interrupts and polling
- Very good for disk requests
- Rest of today: Disks (network devices in 3 lectures)



1 PC system architecture

2 Driver architecture

3 Disks

4 Disk scheduling

5 Flash

Anatomy of a disk [Ruemmler]

Stack of magnetic platters

- Rotate together on a central spindle @3,600-15,000 RPM
- Drive speed drifts slowly over time
- Can't predict rotational position after 100-200 revolutions

Disk arm assembly

- Arms rotate around pivot, all move together
- Pivot offers some resistance to linear shocks
- One disk head per recording surface (2×platters)
- Sensitive to motion and vibration [Gregg] (demo on youtube)

Disk



Disk



Disk



- Platters divided into concentric tracks
- A stack of tracks of fixed radius is a *cylinder*
- Heads record and sense data along cylinders
 - Significant fractions of encoded stream for error correction
- Generally only one head active at a time
 - Disks usually have one set of read-write circuitry
 - Must worry about cross-talk between channels
 - Hard to keep multiple heads exactly aligned

Cylinders, tracks, & sectors



Disk positioning system

Move head to specific track and keep it there

- Resist physical shocks, imperfect tracks, etc.
- A seek consists of up to four phases:
 - speedup-accelerate arm to max speed or half way point
 - coast-at max speed (for long seeks)
 - slowdown-stops arm near destination
 - *settle*-adjusts head to actual desired track
- Very short seeks dominated by settle time (\sim 1 ms)
- Short (200-400 cyl.) seeks dominated by speedup
 - Accelerations of 40g

Seek details

Head switches comparable to short seeks

- May also require head adjustment
- Settles take longer for writes than for reads Why?

Disk keeps table of pivot motor power

- Maps seek distance to power and time
- Disk interpolates over entries in table
- Table set by periodic "thermal recalibration"
- But, e.g., \sim 500 ms recalibration every \sim 25 min bad for AV
- "Average seek time" quoted can be many things
 - Time to seek 1/3 disk, 1/3 time to seek whole disk

Seek details

Head switches comparable to short seeks

- May also require head adjustment
- Settles take longer for writes than for reads
 If read strays from track, catch error with checksum, retry
 If write strays, you've just clobbered some other track

Disk keeps table of pivot motor power

- Maps seek distance to power and time
- Disk interpolates over entries in table
- Table set by periodic "thermal recalibration"
- But, e.g., \sim 500 ms recalibration every \sim 25 min bad for AV
- "Average seek time" quoted can be many things
 - Time to seek 1/3 disk, 1/3 time to seek whole disk

Sectors

• Disk interface presents linear array of sectors

- Historically 512 B, but 4 KiB in "advanced format" disks
- Written atomically (even if there is a power failure)
- Disk maps logical sector #s to physical sectors
 - Zoning-puts more sectors on longer tracks
 - Track skewing-sector 0 pos. varies by track (why?)
 - Sparing-flawed sectors remapped elsewhere

OS doesn't know logical to physical sector mapping

- Larger logical sector # difference means longer seek time
- Highly non-linear relationship (and depends on zone)
- OS has no info on rotational positions
- Can empirically build table to estimate times

Sectors

• Disk interface presents linear array of sectors

- Historically 512 B, but 4 KiB in "advanced format" disks
- Written atomically (even if there is a power failure)
- Disk maps logical sector #s to physical sectors
 - Zoning-puts more sectors on longer tracks
 - Track skewing-sector 0 pos. varies by track (sequential access speed)
 - Sparing-flawed sectors remapped elsewhere

OS doesn't know logical to physical sector mapping

- Larger logical sector # difference means longer seek time
- Highly non-linear relationship (and depends on zone)
- OS has no info on rotational positions
- Can empirically build table to estimate times

Disk interface

- Controls hardware, mediates access
- Computer, disk often connected by bus (e.g., ATA, SCSI, SATA)
 - Multiple devices may contentd for bus
- Possible disk/interface features:
- Disconnect from bus during requests
- Command queuing: Give disk multiple requests
 - Disk can schedule them using rotational information
- Disk cache used for read-ahead
 - Otherwise, sequential reads would incur whole revolution
 - Cross track boundaries? Can't stop a head-switch
- Some disks support write caching
 - But data not stable—not suitable for all requests

Disk performance

• Placement & ordering of requests a huge issue

- Sequential I/O much, much faster than random
- Long seeks much slower than short ones
- Power might fail any time, leaving inconsistent state
- Must be careful about order for crashes
 - More on this in next two lectures
- Try to achieve contiguous accesses where possible
 - E.g., make big chunks of individual files contiguous
- Try to order requests to minimize seek times
 - OS can only do this if it has a multiple requests to order
 - Requires disk I/O concurrency
 - High-performance apps try to maximize I/O concurrency
- Next: How to schedule concurrent requests



1 PC system architecture

Driver architecture

3 Disks

4 Disk scheduling

5 Flash

Scheduling: FCFS

"First Come First Served"

- Process disk requests in the order they are received
- Advantages

Disadvantages

Scheduling: FCFS

"First Come First Served"

- Process disk requests in the order they are received

Advantages

- Easy to implement
- Good fairness

Disadvantages

- Cannot exploit request locality
- Increases average latency, decreasing throughput

FCFS example



Shortest positioning time first (SPTF)

- Shortest positioning time first (SPTF)
 - Always pick request with shortest seek time
- Also called Shortest Seek Time First (SSTF)
- Advantages

Disadvantages

Shortest positioning time first (SPTF)

- Shortest positioning time first (SPTF)
 - Always pick request with shortest seek time
- Also called Shortest Seek Time First (SSTF)
- Advantages
 - Exploits locality of disk requests
 - Higher throughput
- Disadvantages
 - Starvation
 - Don't always know what request will be fastest

Improvement?

Shortest positioning time first (SPTF)

- Shortest positioning time first (SPTF)
 - Always pick request with shortest seek time
- Also called Shortest Seek Time First (SSTF)
- Advantages
 - Exploits locality of disk requests
 - Higher throughput

Disadvantages

- Starvation
- Don't always know what request will be fastest

Improvement: Aged SPTF

- Give older requests higher priority
- Adjust "effective" seek time with weighting factor: $T_{\rm eff} = T_{\rm pos} W \cdot T_{\rm wait}$

SPTF example



"Elevator" scheduling (SCAN)

• Sweep across disk, servicing all requests passed

- Like SPTF, but next seek must be in same direction
- Switch directions only if no further requests
- Advantages

Disadvantages

"Elevator" scheduling (SCAN)

• Sweep across disk, servicing all requests passed

- Like SPTF, but next seek must be in same direction
- Switch directions only if no further requests

Advantages

- Takes advantage of locality
- Bounded waiting

Disadvantages

- Cylinders in the middle get better service
- Might miss locality SPTF could exploit
- CSCAN: Only sweep in one direction Very commonly used algorithm in Unix
- Also called LOOK/CLOOK in textbook
 - (Textbook uses [C]SCAN to mean scan entire disk uselessly)

CSCAN example



VSCAN(r)

Continuum between SPTF and SCAN

- Like SPTF, but slightly changes "effective" positioning time If request in same direction as previous seek: $T_{\rm eff} = T_{\rm pos}$ Otherwise: $T_{\rm eff} = T_{\rm pos} + r \cdot T_{\rm max}$
- when r = 0, get SPTF, when r = 1, get SCAN
- E.g., r = 0.2 works well

Advantages and disadvantages

- Those of SPTF and SCAN, depending on how r is set
- See [Worthington] for good description and evaluation of various disk scheduling algorithms



1 PC system architecture

2 Driver architecture

3 Disks

4 Disk scheduling

5 Flash

Flash memory

- Today, people increasingly using flash memory
- Completely solid state (no moving parts)
 - Remembers data by storing charge
 - Lower power consumption and heat
 - No mechanical seek times to worry about

Limited # overwrites possible

- Blocks wear out after 10,000 (MLC) 100,000 (SLC) erases
- Requires *flash translation layer* (FTL) to provide *wear leveling*, so repeated writes to logical block don't wear out physical block
- FTL can seriously impact performance
- In particular, random writes very expensive [Birrell]

Limited durability

- Charge wears out over time
- Turn off device for a year, you can potentially lose data

Types of flash memory

NAND flash (most prevalent for storage)

- Higher density (most used for storage)
- Faster erase and write
- More errors internally, so need error correction

NOR flash

- Faster reads in smaller data units
- Can execute code straight out of NOR flash
- Significantly slower erases
- Single-level cell (SLC) vs. Multi-level cell (MLC)
 - MLC encodes multiple bits in voltage level
 - MLC slower to write than SLC
 - MLC has lower durability (bits decay faster)

NAND Flash Overview

• Flash device has 2112-byte pages

- 2048 bytes of data + 64 bytes metadata & ECC
- Blocks contain 64 (SLC) or 128 (MLC) pages
- Blocks divided into 2–4 planes
 - All planes contend for same package pins
 - But can access their blocks in parallel to overlap latencies

• Can read one page at a time

- Takes 25 μ sec + time to get data off chip
- Must erase whole block before programing
 - Erase sets all bits to 1—very expensive (2 msec)
 - Programming pre-erased block requires moving data to internal buffer, then 200 (SLC)–800 (MLC) $\mu \rm{sec}$

Flash Characteristics [Caulfield'09]

	Parameter	SLC	MLC
	Density Per Die (GB)	4	8
	Page Size (Bytes)	2048+32	2048+64
	Block Size (Pages)	64	128
	Read Latency (μs)	25	25
	Write Latency ($\mu { m s}$)	200	800
	Erase Latency ($\mu { m s}$)	2000	2000
40MHz, 16-	bit bus Read b/w (MB/s)	75.8	75.8
	Program b/w (MB/s)	20.1	5.0
133MHz	Read b/w (MB/s)	126.4	126.4
	Program b/w (MB/s)	20.1	5.0

FTL straw man: in-memory map

- Keep in-memory map of logical \rightarrow physical page #
 - On write, pick unused page, mark previous physical page free
 - Repeated writes of a logical page will hit different physical pages
- Store map in device memory, but must rebuild on power-up
- Idea: Put header on each page, scan all headers on power-up: (logical page #, <u>Allocated</u> bit, <u>Written</u> bit, <u>Obsolete</u> bit
)
 - A-W-O = 1-1-1: free page
 - A-W-O = 0-1-1: about to write page
 - A-W-O = 0-0-1: successfully written page
 - A-W-O = 0-0-0: obsolete page (can erase block without copying)
- Why the 0-1-1 state?
- What's wrong still?

FTL straw man: in-memory map

- Keep in-memory map of logical \rightarrow physical page #
 - On write, pick unused page, mark previous physical page free
 - Repeated writes of a logical page will hit different physical pages
- Store map in device memory, but must rebuild on power-up
- Idea: Put header on each page, scan all headers on power-up: (logical page #, <u>Allocated</u> bit, <u>Written</u> bit, <u>Obsolete</u> bit
)
 - A-W-O = 1-1-1: free page
 - A-W-O = 0-1-1: about to write page
 - A-W-O = 0-0-1: successfully written page
 - A-W-O = 0-0-0: obsolete page (can erase block without copying)
- Why the 0-1-1 state? After power failure partly written \neq free
- What's wrong still?

FTL straw man: in-memory map

- Keep in-memory map of logical \rightarrow physical page #
 - On write, pick unused page, mark previous physical page free
 - Repeated writes of a logical page will hit different physical pages
- Store map in device memory, but must rebuild on power-up
- Idea: Put header on each page, scan all headers on power-up: (logical page #, <u>Allocated</u> bit, <u>Written</u> bit, <u>Obsolete</u> bit)
 - A-W-O = 1-1-1: free page
 - A-W-O = 0-1-1: about to write page
 - A-W-O = 0-0-1: successfully written page
 - A-W-O = 0-0-0: obsolete page (can erase block without copying)
- Why the 0-1-1 state? After power failure partly written \neq free
- What's wrong still?
 - FTL requires a lot of RAM on device, plus time to scan all headers
 - Some blocks still get erased more than others (w. long-lived data)
 - Blocks with obsolete pages may also contain live pages

More realistic FTL

• Store the FTL map in the flash device itself

- Add one header bit to distinguish map page from data page
- Logical read may miss map cache, require 2 flash reads
- Keep smaller "map-map" in memory, cache some map pages
- Must garbage-collect blocks with obsolete pages
 - Copy live pages to a new block, erase old block
 - Always need free blocks, can't use 100% physical storage

Problem: write amplification

- Small random writes punch holes in many blocks
- If small writes require garbage-collecting a 90%-full blocks
 ...means you are writing 10× more physical than logical data!

Must also periodically re-write even blocks w/o holes

- Wear leveling ensures active blocks don't wear out first