

Paging

- 2 Eviction policies
- 3 Thrashing
- 4 Details of paging
- 5 The user-level perspective
- 6 Case study: 4.4 BSD

Paging



Use disk to simulate larger virtual than physical mem

Working set model



- Disk much, much slower than memory
 - Goal: run at memory speed, not disk speed
- 80/20 rule: 20% of memory gets 80% of memory accesses
 - Keep the hot 20% in memory
 - Keep the cold 80% on disk

Working set model



- Disk much, much slower than memory
 - Goal: run at memory speed, not disk speed
- 80/20 rule: 20% of memory gets 80% of memory accesses
 - → Keep the hot 20% in memory
 - Keep the cold 80% on disk

Working set model



- Disk much, much slower than memory
 - Goal: run at memory speed, not disk speed
- 80/20 rule: 20% of memory gets 80% of memory accesses
 - Keep the hot 20% in memory
 - → Keep the cold 80% on disk

Paging challenges

• How to resume a process after a fault?

- Need to save state and resume
- Process may have been in the middle of an instruction!

• What to fetch from disk?

- Just needed page or more?

• What to eject?

- How to allocate physical pages amongst processes?
- Which of a particular process's pages to keep in memory?

Re-starting instructions

- Hardware must allow resuming after a fault
- Hardware provides kernel with information about page fault
 - Faulting virtual address (In %cr2 reg on x86—may see it if you modify Pintos page_fault and use fault_addr)
 - Address of instruction that caused fault
 - Was the access a read or write? Was it an instruction fetch? Was it caused by user access to kernel-only memory?
- Observation: Idempotent instructions are easy to restart
 - E.g., simple load or store instruction can be restarted
 - Just re-execute any instruction that only accesses one address
- Complex instructions must be re-started, too
 - E.g., x86 move string instructions
 - Specify src, dst, count in %esi, %edi, %ecx registers
 - On fault, registers adjusted to resume where move left off

What to fetch

- Bring in page that caused page fault
- Pre-fetch surrounding pages?
 - Reading two disk blocks approximately as fast as reading one
 - As long as no track/head switch, seek time dominates
 - If application exhibits spacial locality, then big win to store and read multiple contiguous pages

Also pre-zero unused pages in idle loop

- Need 0-filled pages for stack, heap, anonymously mmapped memory
- Zeroing them only on demand is slower
- Hence, many OSes zero freed pages while CPU is idle

Selecting physical pages

May need to eject some pages

- More on eviction policy in two slides
- May also have a choice of physical pages

Direct-mapped physical caches

- Virtual \rightarrow Physical mapping can affect performance
- In old days: Physical address A conflicts with kC + A (where k is any integer, C is cache size)
- Applications can conflict with each other or themselves
- Scientific applications benefit if consecutive virtual pages do not conflict in the cache
- Many other applications do better with random mapping
- These days: CPUs more sophisticated than *kC* + A [Hund]

Superpages

How should OS make use of "large" mappings

- x86 has 2/4MiB pages that might be useful
- Alpha has even more choices: 8KiB, 64KiB, 512KiB, 4MiB

Sometimes more pages in L2 cache than TLB entries

- Don't want costly TLB misses going to main memory
- Try cpuid tool to find CPU's TLB configuration on linux... then compare to cache size reported by lscpu

Or have two-level TLBs

- Want to maximize hit rate in faster L1 TLB
- OS can transparently support superpages [Navarro]
 - "Reserve" appropriate physical pages if possible
 - Promote contiguous pages to superpages
 - Does complicate evicting (esp. dirty pages) demote





- 2 Eviction policies
- 3 Thrashing
- 4 Details of paging
- 5 The user-level perspective
- 6 Case study: 4.4 BSD

Straw man: FIFO eviction

- Evict oldest fetched page in system
- Example—reference string 1, 2, 3, 4, 1, 2, 5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5
- 3 physical pages: 9 page faults



Straw man: FIFO eviction

- Evict oldest fetched page in system
- Example—reference string 1, 2, 3, 4, 1, 2, 5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5
- 3 physical pages: 9 page faults
- 4 physical pages: 10 page faults



Belady's Anomaly



More physical memory doesn't always mean fewer faults

Optimal page replacement

• What is optimal (if you knew the future)?

Optimal page replacement

- What is optimal (if you knew the future)?
 - Replace page that will not be used for longest period of time
- Example—reference string 1, 2, 3, 4, 1, 2, 5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5
- With 4 physical pages:



• What do we do when an OS can't predict the future?

LRU page replacement

- Approximate optimal with least recently used
 - Because past often predicts the future
- Example—reference string 1, 2, 3, 4, 1, 2, 5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5
- With 4 physical pages: 8 page faults



- Problem 1: Can be pessimal example?
- Problem 2: How to implement?

LRU page replacement

- Approximate optimal with least recently used
 - Because past often predicts the future
- Example—reference string 1, 2, 3, 4, 1, 2, 5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5
- With 4 physical pages: 8 page faults



- Problem 1: Can be pessimal example?
 - Looping over memory (then want MRU eviction)
- Problem 2: How to implement?

Straw man LRU implementations

Stamp PTEs with timer value

- E.g., CPU has cycle counter
- Automatically writes value to PTE on each page access
- Scan page table to find oldest counter value = LRU page
- Problem: Would double memory traffic!

Keep doubly-linked list of pages

- On access remove page, place at tail of list
- Problem: again, very expensive

• What to do?

- Just approximate LRU, don't try to do it exactly

Clock algorithm

Use accessed bit supported by most hardware

- E.g., x86 will write 1 to A bit in PTE on first access
- Software managed TLBs like MIPS can do the same
- Do FIFO but skip accessed pages
- Keep pages in circular FIFO list
- Scan:
 - page's A bit = 1, set to 0 & skip
 - else if A = 0, evict
- A.k.a. second-chance replacement A = 1



Clock algorithm

Use accessed bit supported by most hardware

- E.g., x86 will write 1 to A bit in PTE on first access
- Software managed TLBs like MIPS can do the same
- Do FIFO but skip accessed pages
- Keep pages in circular FIFO list
- Scan:
 - page's A bit = 1, set to 0 & skip
 - else if A = 0, evict
- A.k.a. second-chance replacement A = 1



Clock algorithm

Use accessed bit supported by most hardware

- E.g., x86 will write 1 to A bit in PTE on first access
- Software managed TLBs like MIPS can do the same
- Do FIFO but skip accessed pages
- Keep pages in circular FIFO list
- Scan:
 - page's A bit = 1, set to 0 & skip
 - else if A = 0, evict
- A.k.a. second-chance replacement A = 1



Clock algorithm (continued)

• Large memory may be a problem

- Most pages referenced in long interval

Add a second clock hand

- Two hands move in lockstep
- Leading hand clears A bits
- Trailing hand evicts pages with A=0



Can also take advantage of hardware Dirty bit

- Each page can be (Unaccessed, Clean), (Unaccessed, Dirty), (Accessed, Clean), or (Accessed, Dirty)
- Consider clean pages for eviction before dirty
- Or use *n*-bit accessed *count* instead just *A* bit
 - On sweep: count = (A < < (n 1)) | (count >> 1)
 - Evict page with lowest count

Clock algorithm (continued)



- Most pages referenced in long interval

Add a second clock hand

- Two hands move in lockstep
- Leading hand clears A bits
- Trailing hand evicts pages with A=0



- Can also take advantage of hardware Dirty bit
 - Each page can be (Unaccessed, Clean), (Unaccessed, Dirty), (Accessed, Clean), or (Accessed, Dirty)
 - Consider clean pages for eviction before dirty
- Or use *n*-bit accessed *count* instead just *A* bit
 - On sweep: $count = (A \ll (n 1)) | (count \gg 1)$
 - Evict page with lowest count

Clock algorithm (continued)



- Most pages referenced in long interval

Add a second clock hand

- Two hands move in lockstep
- Leading hand clears A bits
- Trailing hand evicts pages with A=0



- Can also take advantage of hardware Dirty bit
 - Each page can be (Unaccessed, Clean), (Unaccessed, Dirty), (Accessed, Clean), or (Accessed, Dirty)
 - Consider clean pages for eviction before dirty
- Or use *n*-bit accessed *count* instead just *A* bit
 - On sweep: $count = (A \ll (n 1)) | (count \gg 1)$
 - Evict page with lowest count

Other replacement algorithms

Random eviction

- Dirt simple to implement
- Not overly horrible (avoids Belady & pathological cases)

• LFU (least frequently used) eviction

- Instead of just A bit, count # times each page accessed
- Least frequently accessed must not be very useful (or maybe was just brought in and is about to be used)
- Decay usage counts over time (for pages that fall out of usage)
- *MFU* (most frequently used) algorithm
 - Because page with the smallest count was probably just brought in and has yet to be used
- Neither LFU nor MFU used very commonly

Naïve paging



• Naïve page replacement: 2 disk I/Os per page fault

Page buffering

- Idea: reduce # of I/Os on the critical path
- Keep pool of free page frames
 - On fault, still select victim page to evict
 - But read fetched page into already free page
 - Can resume execution while writing out victim page
 - Then add victim page to free pool
- Can also yank pages back from free pool
 - Contains only clean pages, but may still have data
 - If page fault on page still in free pool, recycle

Page allocation

- Allocation can be *global* or *local*
- Global allocation doesn't consider page ownership
 - E.g., with LRU, evict least recently used page of any proc
 - Works well if *P*₁ needs 20% of memory and *P*₂ needs 70%:

 Doesn't protect you from memory pigs (imagine P₂ keeps looping through array that is size of mem)

Local allocation isolates processes (or users)

- Separately determine how much memory each process should have
- Then use LRU/clock/etc. to determine which pages to evict within each process





- 2 Eviction policies
- 3 Thrashing
- 4 Details of paging
- 5 The user-level perspective
- 6 Case study: 4.4 BSD

Thrashing

Processes require more memory than system has

- Each time one page is brought in, another page, whose contents will soon be referenced, is thrown out
- Processes will spend all of their time blocked, waiting for pages to be fetched from disk
- Disk at 100% utilization, but system not getting much useful work done
- What we wanted: virtual memory the size of disk with access time the speed of physical memory
- What we got: memory with access time of disk

Reasons for thrashing

• Access pattern has no temporal locality (past \neq future)

(80/20 rule has broken down)

• Hot memory does not fit in physical memory



Each process fits individually, but too many for system



- At least this case is possible to address

Multiprogramming & Thrashing



degree of multiprogramming

Must shed load when thrashing

Dealing with thrashing

Approach 1: working set

- Thrashing viewed from a caching perspective: given locality of reference, how big a cache does the process need?
- Or: how much memory does the process need in order to make reasonable progress (its working set)?
- Only run processes whose memory requirements can be satisfied

• Approach 2: page fault frequency

- Thrashing viewed as poor ratio of fetch to work
- PFF = page faults / instructions executed
- If PFF rises above threshold, process needs more memory. Not enough memory on the system? Swap out.
- If PFF sinks below threshold, memory can be taken away

Working sets



Working set changes across phases

- Baloons during phase transitions

Calculating the working set

• Working set: all pages that process will access in next 7 time

- Can't calculate without predicting future
- Approximate by assuming past predicts future
 - So working set \approx pages accessed in last *T* time
- Keep idle time for each page
- Periodically scan all resident pages in system
 - A bit set? Clear it and clear the page's idle time
 - A bit clear? Add CPU consumed since last scan to idle time
 - Working set is pages with idle time < T

Two-level scheduler

Divide processes into active & inactive

- Active means working set resident in memory
- Inactive working set intentionally not loaded
- Balance set: union of all active working sets
 - Must keep balance set smaller than physical memory

• Use long-term scheduler [recall from lecture 4]

- Moves procs active \rightarrow inactive until balance set small enough
- Periodically allows inactive to become active
- As working set changes, must update balance set

Complications

- How to chose idle time threshold *T*?
- How to pick processes for active set
- How to count shared memory (e.g., libc.so)





- 2 Eviction policies
- 3 Thrashing
- 4 Details of paging
- 5 The user-level perspective
- 6 Case study: 4.4 BSD

Some complications of paging

• What happens to available memory?

- Some physical memory tied up by kernel VM structures

• What happens to user/kernel crossings?

- More crossings into kernel
- Pointers in syscall arguments must be checked (can't just kill process if page not present—might need to page in)

• What happens to IPC?

- Must change hardware address space
- Increases TLB misses
- Context switch flushes TLB entirely on old x86 machines (But not on MIPS...Why?)

Some complications of paging

• What happens to available memory?

- Some physical memory tied up by kernel VM structures

• What happens to user/kernel crossings?

- More crossings into kernel
- Pointers in syscall arguments must be checked (can't just kill process if page not present—might need to page in)

• What happens to IPC?

- Must change hardware address space
- Increases TLB misses
- Context switch flushes TLB entirely on old x86 machines (But not on MIPS...Why? MIPS tags TLB entries with PID)

64-bit address spaces

- Recall x86-64 only has 48-bit virtual address space
- What if you want a 64-bit virtual address space?
 - Straight hierarchical page tables not efficient
 - But software TLBs (like MIPS) allow other possibilities

Solution 1: Hashed page tables

- Store Virtual \rightarrow Physical translations in hash table
- Table size proportional to physical memory
- Clustering makes this more efficient [Talluri]
- Solution 2: Guarded page tables [Liedtke]
 - Omit intermediary tables with only one entry
 - Add predicate in high level tables, stating the only virtual address range mapped underneath + # bits to skip





- 2 Eviction policies
- 3 Thrashing
- 4 Details of paging
- 5 The user-level perspective
- 6 Case study: 4.4 BSD

Recall typical virtual address space



- Dynamically allocated memory goes in heap
- Top of heap called breakpoint
 - Addresses between breakpoint and stack all invalid

Early VM system calls

OS keeps "Breakpoint" – top of heap

- Memory regions between breakpoint & stack fault on access
- char *brk (const char *addr);
 - Set and return new value of breakpoint
- char *sbrk (int incr);
 - Increment value of the breakpoint & return old value
- Can implement malloc in terms of sbrk
 - But hard to "give back" physical memory to system

Memory mapped files



• Other memory objects between heap and stack

mmap system call

- void *mmap (void *addr, size_t len, int prot, int flags, int fd, off_t offset)
 - Map file specified by fd at virtual address addr
 - If addr is NULL, let kernel choose the address
- prot protection of region
 - OR of prot_exec, prot_read, prot_write, prot_none
- flags
 - MAP_ANON anonymous memory (fd should be -1)
 - MAP_PRIVATE modifications are private
 - MAP_SHARED modifications seen by everyone

More VM system calls

- int msync(void *addr, size_t len, int flags);
 - Flush changes of mmapped file to backing store
- int munmap(void *addr, size_t len)
 - Removes memory-mapped object
- int mprotect(void *addr, size_t len, int prot)
 - Changes protection on pages to bitwise or of some PROT_...values
- int mincore(void *addr, size_t len, char *vec)
 - Returns in vec which pages present

Exposing page faults

 Can specify function to run on SIGSEGV (Unix signal raised on invalid memory access)

Example: OpenBSD/i386 siginfo

```
struct sigcontext {
 int sc_gs; int sc_fs; int sc_es; int sc_ds;
 int sc_edi; int sc_esi; int sc_ebp; int sc_ebx;
 int sc_edx; int sc_ecx; int sc_eax;
 int sc_eip; int sc_cs; /* instruction pointer */
 int sc_eflags; /* condition codes, etc. */
 int sc_esp; int sc_ss; /* stack pointer */
 int sc_onstack;
                        /* sigstack state to restore */
                        /* signal mask to restore */
 int sc_mask;
 int sc_trapno;
 int sc_err;
};
```

• Linux uses ucontext_t - same idea, just uses nested
structures that won't all fit on one slide

VM tricks at user level

- Combination of <code>mprotect/sigaction</code> very powerful
 - Can use OS VM tricks in user-level programs [Appel]
 - E.g., fault, unprotect page, return from signal handler
- Technique used in object-oriented databases
 - Bring in objects on demand
 - Keep track of which objects may be dirty
 - Manage memory as a cache for much larger object DB
- Other interesting applications
 - Useful for some garbage collection algorithms
 - Snapshot processes (copy on write)





- 2 Eviction policies
- 3 Thrashing
- 4 Details of paging
- 5 The user-level perspective
- 6 Case study: 4.4 BSD

4.4 BSD VM system [McKusick]¹

Each process has a vmspace structure containing

- *vm_map* machine-independent virtual address space
- *vm_pmap* machine-dependent data structures
- statistics e.g., for syscalls like getrusage ()

vm_map is a linked list of vm_map_entry structs

- vm_map_entry covers contiguous virtual memory
- points to vm_object struct

vm_object is source of data

- e.g. vnode object for memory mapped file
- points to list of *vm_page* structs (one per mapped page)
- shadow objects point to other objects for copy on write

¹Use link on searchworks page for access

4.4 BSD VM data structures



Pmap (machine-dependent) layer

• Pmap layer holds architecture-specific VM code

VM layer invokes pmap layer

- On page faults to install mappings
- To protect or unmap pages
- To ask for dirty/accessed bits

• Pmap layer is lazy and can discard mappings

- No need to notify VM layer
- Process will fault and VM layer must reinstall mapping
- Pmap handles restrictions imposed by cache

Example uses

vm_map_entry structs for a process

- r/o text segment \rightarrow file object
- r/w data segment \rightarrow shadow object \rightarrow file object
- r/w stack \rightarrow anonymous object

• New vm_map_entry objects after a fork:

- Share text segment directly (read-only)
- Share data through two new shadow objects (must share pre-fork but not post-fork changes)
- Share stack through two new shadow objects

Must discard/collapse superfluous shadows

- E.g., when child process exits

What happens on a fault?

- Traverse vm_map_entry list to get appropriate entry
 - No entry? Protection violation? Send process a SIGSEGV
- Traverse list of [shadow] objects
- For each object, traverse vm_page structs
- Found a vm_page for this object?
 - If first vm_object in chain, map page
 - If read fault, install page read only
 - Else if write fault, install copy of page
- Else get page from object
 - Page in from file, zero-fill new page, etc.

Paging in day-to-day use

Demand paging

- Read pages from *vm_object* of executable file

• Copy-on-write (fork, mmap, etc.)

- Use shadow objects

Growing the stack, BSS page allocation

- A bit like copy-on-write for /dev/zero
- Can have a single read-only zero page for reading
- Special-case write handling with pre-zeroed pages

Shared text, shared libraries

- Share *vm_object* (shadow will be empty where read-only)

Shared memory

- Two processes mmap same file, have same vm_object (no shadow)