Ossification of the Internet

- The Internet evolved as an experimental packet-switched network
- Today, many aspects appear to be "set in stone"
 - Witness difficulty in getting IP multicast deployed
 - Major obstacles to deployment of IPv6
- Yet many reasons to extend the Internet
 - E.g., BGP doesn't even try to find optimal routes
 - Want extensions to routing—multicast, anycast, ...
 - Might want greater availability than on Internet
- But can only change end nodes, not routers



- Use Internet to form "virtual links"
- Build your own network on top of Internet
 - E.g., RON project greatly increases availability



- Use tunnels to form virtual links
 - Encapsulate your network packets in IP datagrams
- Examples:
 - IPv6-in-IPv4 packets
 - Mbone overlays
 - End-system multicast
- How to select links?



Overlay choice

Consider topology (a)

- Naive solution is iterated unicast from source (b)—suboptimal
- Optimal requires router support (c)
- Best overlay would be (d)
- How to select links?
 - Use standard routing protocol (DVMRP)
 - Want something close to underlying Internet topology
 - But must estimate link costs by measurement



- Want to use links that will improve performance
- Triangle equality holds often, but not always
 - I.e., Latencies $(a \rightarrow b) \not\leq (a \rightarrow c) + (c \rightarrow a)$

Example overlay construction

- View overlay as a mesh embedded in Internet
 - Standard routing protocol selects routes in overlay
- Add edges whenever a node joins
 - Join means adding edges to one or more existing nodes
- Add edges after failure, or to improve optimality
 - i periodically probes random node j
 - Add link $i \leftrightarrow j$ if sufficiently utility: \sum

$$\sum_{m \neq i} \left(\frac{\Delta \text{ latency w. } i \leftrightarrow j}{\text{ latency without}} \right)$$

- Remove based on Cost
 - max (# routes w. $i \rightarrow j$ as first hop, # w. $j \rightarrow i$)

Peer-to-peer networks

- Aims to use the bandwidth and storage of the many hosts
 - Sum of access line speeds and disk space
- But to use this collection of machines effectively requires coordination on a massive scale
 - Key challenge: who has the content you are looking for?
- Moreover, the hosts are very flaky
 - Behind slow links
 - Often connected only a few minutes
 - So system must be very robust

Napster

• Centralized search engine:

- All hosts with songs register them with central site
- Users do keyword search on site to find desired song
- Site then lists the hosts that have the song
- User then downloads content

• What makes this work?

- Central site only has to handle searches: little bandwidth
- Vast collection of hosts can supply huge aggregate bandwidth
- System is self-scaling: more users means more resources

What happened to Napster?

- Fastest growing Internet application ever
 - P2P traffic became, and remains, one of the biggest sources of traffic on the Internet!
- But legal issues shut site down
- Centralized system was vulnerable to legal attacks, and system couldn't function without central site
 - Central point of failure
- What can one do without a central site?
 - That's the hard question in peer-to-peer

Gnutella

- An example of an unstructured, decentralized P2P system
- Context:
 - Many hosts join a system
 - Each offers to share its own content
 - In return, each can make queries for others content

• Goal:

- Enable users to find desired content on other
- Replaces centralized Napster DB with decentralized search



- Step one: form an overlay network
 - Each host, when it joins, "connects" to several Gnutella members
 - An "overlay" link is merely the fact that the nodes know each other's IP address, and thus can send each other packets

Gnutella searches

- Step two: search with *flood queries*
- Each query is flooded within some scope
 - Queries are typically keyword searches
 - TTL is used to limit scope of flood
 - Flooding means you don't need any routing infrastructure beyond links
- All responses to queries are forwarded back along path query came from
 - Nodes remember queries they have seen
 - Avoids duplicating queries, offers some privacy

Gnutella performance

• Tradeoff: Accuracy vs. cost of queries

- if TTL is small, then searches won't find desired content
- if TTL is large, network will get overloaded

• Supernode optimization:

- Normal nodes attach to supernodes, who search for them
- Only flood among well-connected supernodes
- Random-walk instead of flooding optimization:
 - Provides correct TTL automatically

• Proactive replication

- Replicate content that is frequently queried, to make it easier to find

"Unstructured Overlay"

• Gnutella is unstructured in two senses:

- Links between nodes are essentially random
- The content of each node is random (at least from the perspective of Gnutella)

• Implications:

- Can't route on Gnutella
- Wouldn't know where to route even if we could

Structured overlays

- Most Gnutella downloads are for widely-replicated content
 - I.e.g, Gnutella is good at finding the "hay"
 - But how would you find "needles"?
- Need *structured* overlays
 - Say you know name of object
 - And only one copy of object in the system
 - Can you index object such than anyone can find it?
- Want to lookup up name → value mapping
 - Sounds like a hash table