Intro to Threads

• Threads: most popular abstraction for concurrency

- Lighter-weight abstraction than processes
- All threads in one process have same memory, file descriptors, etc.
- Allows one process to use multiple CPUs

• Example: threaded web server:

- Service many clients simultaneously

```
for (;;) {
  fd = accept_client ();
  thread_create (service_client, &fd);
}
```

How to share CPU amongst threads

• Each thread has execution state:

- Stack, program counter, registers, condition codes, etc.

• Switch the CPU amongst the threads

- Save away execution state of one, load up that of next

• When to switch?

- Current thread can no longer use the CPU (waiting for I/O)
- Current thread has had CPU for too long (preemption)
- Scheduler maintains lists of runnable/running/waiting threads

Thread package API

- tid create (void (*fn) (void *), void *arg);
 - Create a new thread, run fn with arg
- void exit ();
 - Destroy current thread
- void join (tid thread);
 - Wait for thread thread to exit

Synchronization primitives

- void lock (mutex_t m);
 void unlock (mutex_t m);
 - Only one thread acuires m at a time, others wait
 - All global data must be protected by a mutex!
- void wait (mutex_t m, cond_t c);
 - Atomically unlock m and sleep until c signaled
- void signal (cond_t c);void broadcast (cond_t c);
 - Wake one/all users waiting on c

Example: Taking job from work queue

```
job *job_queue;
mutex_t job_mutex;
cond_t job_cond;
void workthread (void *) {
 job *j;
  for (;;) {
    lock (job_mutex);
    while (!(j = job_queue))
      wait (job_mutex, job_cond);
    job_queue = j->next;
    unlock (job_mutex);
    do (j);
```

Example: Adding job to work queue

```
void addjob (job *j) {
  lock (job_mutex);
  j->next = job_queue;
  job_queue = j;
  signal (job_cond);
  unlock (job_mutex);
}
```

- Atomic release/wait necessary in workthread, otherwise:
 - workthread checks queue, releases lock
 - addjob adds job to queue, signals job_mutex
 - workthread waits for signal that was already delivered

Other thread package features

- Alerts cause exception in a thread
- Trylock don't block if can't acquire mutex
- Timedwait timeout on condition variable
- Shared locks concurrent read accesses to data
- Thread priorities control scheduling policy
- Thread-specific global data

Implementing shared locks

```
struct sharedlk {
  int i; mutex_t m; cond_t c;
};
void AcquireExclusive (sharedlk *sl) {
  lock (sl->m);
  while (sl->i) { wait (sl->m, sl->c); }
  sl->i = -1;
  unlock (sl->m);
void AcquireShared (sharedlk *sl) {
  lock (sl->m);
  while (sl->i < 0) { wait (sl->m, sl->c); }
  sl->i++;
  unlock (sl->m);
```

shared locks (continued)

```
void ReleaseShared (sharedlk *sl) {
  lock (sl->m);
  if (!--sl->i) signal (sl->c);
  unlock (sl->m);
}
void ReleaseExclusive (sharedlk *sl) {
  lock (sl->m);
  sl->i = 0;
  broadcast (sl->c);
 unlock (sl->m);
```

Must deal with starvation

Deadlock

• Mutex ordering:

- A locks m1, B locks m2, A locks m2, B locks m1
- How to avoid?

• Similar deadlock with condition variables

- Suppose resource 1 managed by c_1 , resource 2 by c_2
- A has 1, waits on c2, B has 2, waits on c1

Mutex/condition variable deadlock:

```
- lock (a); lock (b); while (!ready) wait (b, c);
unlock (b); unlock (a);
```

```
- lock (a); lock (b); ready = true; signal (c);
unlock (b); unlock (a);
```

Bad to hold locks when crossing abstraction barriers!

Detecting deadlock

- Static approaches (hard)
- Threads package can keep track of locks held
- Program grinds to a halt
 - Examine with debugger, find lock order problem
- Threads package can deduce partial order
 - For each lock acquired, order with other locks held
 - If cycle occurs, abort with error
 - Detects potential deadlocks even if they do not occur

Data races

- Example: modify global ++x without mutex
 - Might compile to: load, add 1, store
 - Bad interleaving changes result: load, load, ...
- Even single instructions can have races
 - E.g., addl \$1,_x
 - Not atomic on MP without lock prefix!
- Even reads dangerous on some architectures
- But sometimes cheating buys efficiency

```
if (!initialized) {
  lock (m);
  if (!initialized) { initialize (); initialized = 1; }
  unlock (m);
}
```

Detecting data races

- Static methods (hard)
- Debugging painful—race might occur rarely
- Instrumentation—modify program to trap memory accesses
- Lockset algorithm (eraser) particularly effective:
 - For each global memory location, keep a "lockset"
 - On each access, remove any locks not currently held
 - If lockset becomes empty, abort: No mutex protects data
 - Catches potential races even if they don't occur

Implementing user-level threads

- Allocate a new stack for reach thread create
- Keep a queue of runnable threads
- Replace networking system calls (read/write/etc.)
 - If operation would block, switch and run different thread
- Schedule periodic timer signal (setitimer)
 - Switch to another thread on timer signals (preemption)

Example

Per-thread state in thread control block structure

- Machine-dependent thread-switch function:
 - void thread_md_switch (tcb *current, tcb *next);
- Machine-dependent thread initialization function:

i386 thread_md_switch

```
pushl %ebp; movl %esp,%ebp # Save frame pointer
pushl %ebx; pushl %esi; pushl %edi # Save callee-saved regs
                 # %edx = thread_current
movl 8(%ebp),%edx
movl 12(%ebp), %eax # %eax = thread_next
movl %esp,(%edx) # %edx->md_esp = %esp
movl (%eax),%esp # %esp = %eax->md_esp
popl %edi; popl %esi; popl %ebx
                                 # Restore callee saved regs
popl %ebp
                                 # Restore frame pointer
ret
                                 # Resume execution
```

i386 thread_md_init

```
void thread_md_init (tcb *t, void (*fn) (void *), void *arg) {
 u_long *sp = (u_long *) (t->t_stack + thread_stack_size);
 /* Set up a callframe to thread_begin */
 *--sp = (u_long) arg;  *--sp = (u_long) fn;
 /* Now set up saved registers for switch.S */
 *--sp = (u_long) thread_begin; /* return address */
 *--sp = 0; /* ebp */ *--sp = 0; /* ebx */
 t->t_md.md_esp = (mdreg_t) sp;
```

• Swich will call thread_begin (fn, arg);

Implementing synchronization

- Can "cheat" on uniprocessor
 - Set "do not interrupt" bit
 - If timer interrupt arrives, set "interrupted" bit
 - Manipulate mutex data structure
 - Clear DNI bit
 - If interrupted bit set, yield
- Note: Only works if one kernel thread for all user threads

For MP, need hardware support

- Need atomic read-write or read-modify-write:
- Example: int test_and_set (int *lockp);
 - Sets *lockp = 1 and returns old value
- Now can implement spinlocks:

```
#define lock(lockp) while (test_and_set (lockp))
#define unlock(lockp) *lockp = 0
```

- When more threads than processors, don't just spin
 - Wastes CPU when other runnable work exists Especially if thread holding lock doesn't have a CPU
- But gratuitous context switch has cost
 - Good plan: spin for a bit, then yield

Synchronization on i386

• xchg instruction, exchanges reg with mem

```
_test_and_set:

movl 8(%esp), %edx

movl $1,% eax

xchg %eax,( %edx)

ret
```

- CPU locks memory system around read and write
 - I.e., xchgl always acts like it has lock prefix
 - Prevents other uses of the bus (e.g., DMA)
- Operates at memory bus speed, not CPU speed
 - Much slower than cached read/buffered write

Synchronization on alpha

• ldl_l - load locked stl_c - store conditional

Implementing kernel level threads

- Plan9 gave a good example of how to do this
- Start with process abstraction in kernel
- Strip out unnecessary features
 - Same address space
 - Same file table
 - (Plan9's rfork actually allowed individual control)
- Faster than a process, but still very heavy weight